

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/776,420	02/02/2001	R. Steven Schultz	01153.0001U3	4087	
23859 7:	590 12/03/2002				
NEEDLE & ROSENBERG P C			EXAMINER		
127 PEACHTR ATLANTA, G	REE STREET N E A 30303-1811		FELTEN, D	FELTEN, DANIEL S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3624		
DATE M			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Ì.

Application No. 09/776,420

Applicant(s)

Schultz et al

Examiner

Daniel Felten

Art Unit **3624**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
	or Reply	·				
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM				
THE N	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing	date of this communication.					
- If NO p	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an	d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
. Failure	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of th	application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Softmandation, Court and Street, The Court a				
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 16, 20	002				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action					
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u>	is/are rejected.				
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
.0,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di					
111	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.				
11)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	İ				
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have					
		ocuments have been received in this National Stage				
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
	The translation of the foreign language provisiona					
15)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachm	ient(s)					
1) 💢 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
3) 💢 Ini	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other:				

Serial Number: 09/776,420	Applicant(s): Schultz et al. (705/39)	Page 2
Art Unit: 3624	Representative:	

DETAILED ACTION

- 2 1. Receipt of the amendment filed September 16, 2002, amending claims 1, 6 and 11.
- 3 Claims 1-15 are pending in the application and are presented to be examined upon their merits.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - 4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ray et al
- 19 (Hereinaster, "Ray", US 6,067,529) in view of Tognazzini (US 5,739,5112).
- 20 Regarding claims 1, 6 and 11:

8

9

12

14

15

16

17

18

Serial Number: 09/776,420 Applicant(s): Schultz et al. (705/39) Page 3

Representative:

Ray discloses a method, system and computer system for collecting electronic receipts for purchases

Art Unit: 3624

6

- (a) conducting a sales transaction between a buyer and a seller (see Ray, col. 3, 1l. 26+)
- (b) generating an electronic receipt including information describing the purchase (see Ray, Abstract) and
 - (c) transmitting the receipt via a computer network to a computing device operated by or on behalf of the buyer, the buyer being presented via a user interface of the device with a representation of the information describing the purchase (see Ray, col. 2, 11. 23-44);
- and including information indicating completion of the transaction (see Ray, col. 3, 11. 26-40).

Ray's system stores transport addresses within the Gatekeeper device in order to provide 11 information related to customer receipts (see Ray, col. 4, 1l. 14-40). However, Ray fails to 12 disclose storing in a centralized database a record of each receipt generated for each transactions 13 of the plurality of transactions. Tognazzini discloses digital delivery of electronic receipts 14 wherein electronic receipts are stored in a database (see Tognazzini fig. 8, col. 7, ll. 1-35). 15 Since Ray contemplates the use of credit cards/smart cards (see Ray, col. 3, 11. 26+), it would 16 have been obvious for an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ 17 the notoriously old and well known database, as disclosed in Tognazzi, for storing electronic 18 receipt/records of transaction because an artisan at the time of the invention of would have 19 sought to use a database to protect the system against fraudulent or accidental practices where the 20

Serial Number: 09/776,420 Applicant(s): Schultz et al. (705/39) Page 4
Art Unit: 3624 Representative:

buyer receives a purchased item either mistakenly or by trickery. Thus to employ the database, as

disclosed by Tognazzi into the Ray system would have been an obvious expedient well within

the ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claims 2-5, 7-10 and 12-15:

Ray in view of Tognazzi discloses, as in claims 2, 7, and 12, generating aggregate information in response to stored receipts; and providing the aggregate information to one of the

9 sellers (see col. 3, ll. 10+).

claims 3-5, 8-10 and 13-15 disclose the receipt generator retrieving the *found* records and transmitting representations of the *found* records to one of the buyers, adding information to a found record, associating the added information with the found record in the database, and downloading information in the found records to financial software as indications of purchases (see col. 3, 11. 10+).

16 Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Daniel S. Felten* whose telephone number is (703) 305-0724. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 7:00AM to 5:30PM Monday-Thursday. Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application or its proceedings should

Serial Number: 09/776,420 Applicant(s): Schultz et al. (705/39) Page 5
Art Unit: 3624 Representative:

be directed to the Customer Service Office (703) 306-5631, or the examiner's supervisor *Vincent Millin* whose telephone number is (703) 308-1065.

6. Response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

for formal communications intended for entry, or (703) 305-0040, for informal or draft communications, please label "Proposed" or "Draft".

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [daniel.felten@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1 195 OG 89.

DSF

2

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

November 21, 2002

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600